

**SCR TRANSPORT EXECUTIVE BOARD**

**14<sup>th</sup> JANUARY 2016**

**SHEFFIELD TOWN HALL**

<b>No.</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Action</b>
1	<p><b><u>Welcome and Apologies</u></b></p> <p>Present:</p> <p><u>Board Members</u> Cllr Julie Dore, SCC - CHAIR Diana Terris, BMBC</p> <p>Apologies were received from Board Members Neil Taylor (BaDC), Cllr Ann Syrett (BoDC) and Martin McKervey (Nabarro / LEP)</p> <p><u>In Attendance / Advisory Members</u> Matt Gladstone, BMBC Peter Dale, DMBC Tom Finnegan-Smith, RMBC Simon Green, SCC Mike Ashworth, DCC Neil Hodgson, NCC Steve Edwards, SYPTE David Phillips, SCC Julie Hurley, SCR Executive Team David Allatt, SCR Executive Team Neal Byers, SCR Executive Team Chloe Shepherd, SCR Executive Team Craig Tyler, Joint Authorities Governance Unit</p>	
2	<p><b><u>Minutes of the Previous Meeting</u></b></p> <p>The minutes of the previous meeting held on 19<sup>th</sup> November were agreed to be an accurate record.</p> <p>The Chair again noted concerns regarding the proposal of Paul Lynch (Stagecoach) to the Board as a private sector member suggesting this is a clear conflict of interest.</p> <p>It was agreed to request an alternate private sector LEP</p>	

	<p>representative.</p> <p><b>Action: Julie H to address with the LEP and seek an alternate nomination.</b></p> <p>All actions in the minutes were noted as complete.</p>	
<b>3</b>	<p><b><u>Declarations of Interest</u></b></p> <p>No declarations of interest relating to the business to be transacted on today's agenda were noted.</p>	
<b>4</b>	<p><b><u>Urgent Items / Announcements</u></b></p> <p>No urgent items or announcements were noted.</p>	
<b>5</b>	<p><b><u>TEB Membership</u></b></p> <p>In addition to the private sector representation issue (see item 2) the group was informed that Neil Taylor has indicated he is struggling to make TEB meetings given a significant number of competing priorities. It was suggested that this matter may be considered at the SCR Chief Executives' meeting.</p>	
<b>6</b>	<p><b><u>TEB Business Plan 2016/17</u></b></p> <p>The Board was presented with the draft 2016/17 Business Plan, setting out intended key investments, milestones, outputs and outcomes, risks and resource requirements for the forthcoming year.</p> <p>It was noted that the Plan aligns with other thematic plans</p> <p>The Board acknowledged the importance of adequate transport modelling to ensure the correct schemes are progressed. However, the significant costs associated with this work were noted.</p> <p>It was suggested the Plan needs to cite more evidence of intended delivery on the ground as well as intended policy outcomes. It was noted this information is contained across a number of delivery programmes and could be collated to provide a holistic picture of delivery.</p> <p>It was suggested that further information is needed to report on which groups and stakeholders are being engaged to inform the Plan's development.</p> <p><b>Action: Julie H to provide</b></p>	

	<p>It was noted that the Plan will contain a detailed section on key investments. This section is in development and more information will be included in the next draft to be presented to the next TEB meeting.</p> <p>It was suggested the Plan needs to demonstrate stronger links to how it supports the SCRIP.</p> <p><b>Action: ALL to provide further feedback by 12<sup>th</sup> February to ensure comments can be captured in the next draft.</b></p>	
7	<p><b><u>Gainshare Priority Setting</u></b></p> <p>A presentation was provided introducing the Board to the concept of Gainshare, this being the £30m x 30 year additional funding allocation which is being negotiated with Government.</p> <p>It was noted that Gainshare is a substantive element of what is now termed ‘the single pot’ that being the aggregation of Gainshare, previously agreed local growth deals and a ‘share of national programmes (totalling c. £1.25bn for the SCR).</p> <p>Members were informed that each Board is being invited to assess its priorities and consider how it wants to work up its own proposals for what schemes might be funded from Gainshare. This is in addition to a number of other stakeholder exercises which will inform the investment programme. It was noted that overarching conditions of eligibility have been determined to be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Overall fit with the ambition and objectives of the SEP</li> <li>• Deliverability</li> <li>• Scalability</li> </ul> <p>Workshops are to be arranged for February / March to bring these workstreams together. Dates are to be confirmed in due course.</p> <p>It was noted that the size of the ‘share of national funding streams’ is still to be quantified but is expected to be significant subject to the ratification of the deal and further discussions with government departments.</p> <p>It was agreed that the key objective for each Board is therefore to secure its share of the devolution deal for programmes to deliver key thematic objectives through the development of a deliverable, scalable, realistic programme of investments that can start delivering from 1<sup>st</sup> April 2016.</p> <p>This information will be collated and presented to a Leaders workshop to be scheduled for late February / early March, at</p>	

	<p>which the potential priorities being proposed by the Executive Boards will be given formal consideration.</p> <p>The Board was asked to be mindful that the Gainshare funding is also predicated on the 9 SCR districts' full councils ratifying the Devolution Deal.</p> <p>Consideration was given to how the overlap with the Infrastructure Executive Board should be managed. It was suggested that 1 solution might be for the 2 Boards to submit a single ask.</p> <p><b>Action: All to keep engaged with the process and continue to feedback suggestions</b></p> <p><b>Action: Julie H to present the latest iteration of the TEB Gainshare proposal, aligned to the updated Business Plan, to the next meeting.</b></p>	
<p>8</p>	<p><b><u>Social Inclusion</u></b></p> <p>The group was introduced to work being led by the Social Inclusion and Equalities Board to devise a Social Inclusion Framework for the SCR and the SEP.</p> <p>It was noted that this work has commenced in respect of the CA's intention to not lose sight of the underpinning need to increase social inclusion whilst progressing ambitions for economic growth.</p> <p>It was noted that Executive Boards are currently being asked to comment on the proposed key objectives:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• More people in employment and paid a living wage,</li> <li>• More people in work taking up training opportunities and progressing in work</li> <li>• More people living in affordable and decent quality homes</li> </ul> <p>The TEB members were therefore asked to consider how the transport theme might accord with this ambition and how that might equate into additional objectives.</p> <p>The Social Inclusion and Equality Board's ambition to see its work embedded in the delivery activities and programmes under all thematic areas was noted.</p> <p>Considering this matter, the Board noted the importance of public transport being affordable and accessible by all commuters irrespective of characteristic or geography.</p>	

	<p><b>Action: Julie D, Julie H and Diana to convene to discuss transport related - social inclusion alignments in more detail.</b></p>	
9	<p><b><u>Bus Franchising</u></b></p> <p>A report was received to inform the Board of the powers in the Buses Bill, to outline the background to bus devolution and to seek support for the Market Review work.</p> <p>It was noted that lessons learnt from South Yorkshire’s past and current involvement with franchising models are being taken into consideration as the potential new powers are assessed.</p> <p><b>Action: Chloe to ensure the draft response is shared with TEB members prior to submission</b></p> <p><b>Action: ALL to comment accordingly</b></p> <p>Reasons for the need to engage consultancy support for conducting the market review were noted. It was confirmed this is being funded from existing budgets</p> <p><b>RESOLVED, that the Board members note the background to bus devolution; approve the undertaking of work to determine the best service delivery model for buses in the SCR and approve the appointment of consultancy support to conduct the Market Review</b></p>	
10	<p><b><u>Network Rail Shaw Trust Report – Post Submission</u></b></p> <p>A paper was presented on SCR response to the Network Rail Shaw Report, Scoping Consultation, submitted to Network Rail on 23 December, following delegated sign-off by the Chair of TEB.</p> <p>It was noted that feedback is awaited.</p> <p>It was noted that a previous growth deal had confirmed that the SCR would enjoy a ‘special relationship’ with Network Rail (and Highways Agency). This should therefore act as a basis to ‘call in’ national agencies to discuss specific matters and seek general reassurances that they are going to deliver on all their promises.</p> <p><b>RESOLVED, that the Board notes the contents of the response.</b></p>	
11	<p><b><u>National Infrastructure Commission – Call for Evidence Post</u></b></p>	

	<p><b><u>Submission</u></b></p> <p>The Board was advised of work underway to comply with the requests of the Commission for evidence.</p> <p>The Board agreed that all responses to consultations should be shared and co-ordinated where possible to avoid any unnecessary contradictions.</p>	
12	<p><b><u>Government Statement on HS2 / Command Paper</u></b></p> <p>A paper was presented summarising the key issues from the HS2 Command Paper, published on 30 November 2015.</p> <p><b>RESOLVED, that the Board notes the content of the report</b></p>	
13	<p><b><u>Autumn Statement</u></b></p> <p>A report was presented to note the key announcements from the Government's Spending Review and Autumn Statement and their potential impact on the SCR. The report first summarised the announcements overall and then focused on transport.</p> <p><b>RESOLVED, that the Board notes the content of the report</b></p>	
14	<p><b><u>CVT Update – Funding Bid Submission</u></b></p> <p>The Board was informed that the SCR was successful in its bid for £500k from the DfT's Clean Bus Technology Fund. This will be used to retrofit buses on the 51/52 route in Sheffield to reduce emissions and pollutants.</p>	
14.1	<p><b><u>Transport for the North</u></b></p> <p>A report was presented to provide an update to the Transport Executive Board (TEB) on the progress of the Transport for the North (TfN) project.</p> <p><b>RESOLVED, that the Board members:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Note the appointment of the TfN Independent Chair.</b></li> <li>• <b>Note the communication plan with SCR partners.</b></li> <li>• <b>Note the publication of the TfN Autumn Report.</b></li> <li>• <b>Note the implications of the Spending Review on the TfN Programme.</b></li> <li>• <b>Note the publication of the Trans-Pennine Tunnel Study.</b></li> <li>• <b>Note the progress being made on the Northern Powerhouse Rail Workstream.</b></li> </ul>	

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Note the completion of the Northern Freight and Logistics Strategy Baseline Report.</b></li> <li>• <b>Note that TfN is providing a joint partner response to the National Infrastructure Commission Call for Evidence.</b></li> </ul>	
<b>14.2</b>	<p><b><u>Rail North Update</u></b></p> <p>Members were advised key matters relating to the Rail North initiative.</p> <p>It was noted that PTEs are no longer co-signatories.</p>	
<b>15</b>	<p><b><u>Infrastructure Update Plan</u></b></p> <p>It was noted that a detailed update will be presented to the next meeting.</p>	
<b>16</b>	<p><b><u>Infrastructure Executive Board</u></b></p> <p>The minutes of the last meeting of the Infrastructure Executive Board were presented for information.</p>	
<b>16.1</b>	<p><b><u>Draft Transport Committee Minutes</u></b></p> <p>The minutes of the last meeting of the Transport Committee were presented for information.</p>	
<b>17</b>	<p><b><u>HS2 Programme Board</u></b></p> <p>It was noted that the minutes of the last meeting of the Board were not available at the time of publication. These will be presented in due course.</p>	
<b>18</b>	<p><b><u>Any Other Business</u></b></p> <p>i. <u>Urban Engine</u> The Board was provided with information regarding the initiative and considered whether the Chair might sign a letter confirming SCR's willingness to engage with a study into transport provision (at no cost to the SCR).</p> <p><b>RESOLVED, that the Chair will sign and submit a letter of submission.</b></p>	
<b>19</b>	<p><b><u>Date of Next Meeting</u></b></p> <p>25<sup>th</sup> February, 2.00pm at Sheffield Town Hall</p>	